Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) NAGENDRA ET AL.	
10/783,840		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	

	Qing-Yuan Wu	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. \[\text{\text{\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\}\$\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$					
The period for reply expires months from the mailir	d date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED V MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fet under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	alianas with 27 CEB 44 27 must be 4	Clad within two worth	a of the date of		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further of They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE believe) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		cted claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\bigcirc \). All claim(s) objected to: \(\bigcirc \). (Idam(s) objected to: \(\bigcirc \). (Idam(s) objected: \(\bigcirc \). (Idam(s) objected: \(\bigcirc \).		I be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: N/A.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>5/8/08</u> Other: Note PTO-892. 					
/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued in substance that Brumme does not teach replacing a portion of the code to create optimized code and then compiling the optimized code. Brumme teaches updates and modifications to various layers of the framework which includes the API layer, any modification would have include updating of code in the API and requiring further compilation to create the end product.